Tunable particle separation in a hybrid dielectrophoresis (DEP)-inertial microfluidic device
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Particle separation is indispensable in many microfluidic systems and holds a broad range of biomedical applications. Inertial microfluidic devices that work solely on intrinsic hydrodynamic forces are inertial effects can offer label-free, high throughput and high efficiency separation performance. However, the working range of the current inertial microfluidic systems is obtained by tailoring the inertial lift forces and secondary flow drag through flow speed. Each channel design is normally effective for specific target particles, which inevitably lacks the flexibility for various particle mixtures. Redesigning the structure and dimension of microchannels for new sets of particle mixtures is often time-consuming and expensive. In this work, by introducing an external dielectrophoretic force field and coupling it with inertial forces, we proposed here an innovative hybrid DEP-inertial microfluidic platform for particle tunable separation. The working principle of the device was explained and its functionality was validated by experiments. In addition, the dimension of target particle mixture can be varied by adjusting the electrical voltage without redesigning the channel structure or dimensions. It is expected that the proposed DEP-inertial concept can work as a flexible platform for a wide range of biomedical applications.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Particle separation is indispensable for a wide range of basic biological, chemical and clinical studies \cite{1,2}. The most commonly used technology for biological sample separation is centrifugation. However, it is limited by the bulky size, high cost, and intensive labor \cite{3,4}. Furthermore, it can be dangerous if improperly operated because the system contains high-speed rotating parts. Another common technique is based on membrane filter that uses the pore size to selectively transport or block target particles through the membrane. However, this approach may suffer from the severe clogging and fouling problems \cite{5}.

Microfluidic technology has emerged as a promising solution for particle separation. The technology manipulates and separates particles by well-defined microstructures and precisely controlled physical fields at the micrometer scale. Microfluidics is a simpler, cheaper, and more efficient technique compared to the conventional macroscale technology. In microfluidics, particle manipulation and separation can be classified as active and passive according to the origin of dominant manipulating forces. Active techniques employ active external force fields \cite{6,7,8,9}, while passive microfluidic devices depend on the intrinsic hydrodynamic forces and microchannel structure \cite{10,11,12}. Generally, active microfluidics can precisely control particles of interest and adjust their position in real time. However, the flow speed is slow because a long residual time is required to exert sufficient external manipulating force to the target particles, thus limiting the overall throughput. In contrast, passive microfluidics has the advantages of simple structure, robustness, and high throughput.

Inertial microfluidics is an unconventional passive microfluidic technology, that manipulates and separates microparticles by the finite inertial effects of fluid \cite{12}. In contrast to the conventional microfluidic technologies in which flow speed is very low and inertial effects are negligible, inertial microfluidics works at a much higher flow speed where fluid inertia cannot be neglected. Due to the superior advantages of high throughput, precise manipu-
lation, simplicity, and robustness, inertial microfluidic technology has received extensive attention and has developed rapidly within the last two decades [12–14]. A variety of microchannel structures have been developed for particle inertial focusing and separation, including straight [15,16], spiral [17–19], serpentine [20,21], straight channel with expansion-contractions [22–25] and with pillar arrays [26,27]. Meanwhile, various applications using the inertial microfluidic technique have been explored such as extraction of blood plasma [28], separation of particles and cells [29,30], solution exchange [31,32], cell enrichment [33], isolation of circulating tumour cells (CTCs) [34–36], detection of malaria pathogen [37], microfiber fabrication [38], cell cycle synchronization [39], cell encapsulation [40], and stretching of single cell [41] etc. However, once the microfluidic devices are designed and fabricated, their applicable range of the target particles is normally restricted. Therefore, this technology lacks the flexibility and tunability to adapt to different particle samples once the microchannel structure and dimensions are fixed.

Hybrid techniques, which combine both active and passive methods, have been proposed to overcome their individual shortcomings and integrate the benefits from both methods [42]. The reported hybrid techniques include combination of dielectrophoresis (DEP) with deterministic lateral displacement (DLD) [43], hydrophoresis [44] or field flow fractionation (FFF) [45], magnetophoresis (MP) with hydrodynamics [46] or viscoelastic focusing [47,48], virtual DLD with acoustophoresis (AP) [49] et al. However, very few attempts to combine inertial microfluidics and active techniques have been reported [36,50–52]. Moon et al. [52] proposed a novel platform which combines multi-orifice flow fractionation (MOFF) and DEP techniques to separate human breast cancer cells (MCF-7) from spiked blood samples. The inertial separation by MOFF takes advantage of high-throughput filtration of normal blood cells, and the serially connected DEP separator plays as a precise post-processor to further enhance the efficiency of separation. Later, Toner et al. successfully developed an inertial focusing–enhanced microfluidic CTC capture platform (CTC-iChip) for unbiased, rapid, and automated isolation of CTCs [36,50,53]. The CTC-iChip takes advantages of techniques of DLD, inertial focusing and MP. In the platform, the DLD firstly removes red blood cells (RBCs) from the raw blood sample. The remaining white blood cells (WBCs) and CTCs enter an asymmetric serpentine microchannel and become focused promptly on a single path by the inertial forces. Finally, at the end of the serpentine channel, a strong magnetic field is applied to deflect target WBCs or CTCs that are magnetically labelled, so that CTCs can be isolated effectively. Very recently, Zhou et al. [51] demonstrated a hybrid microfluidic technique that combines passive inertial focusing with active negative magnetophoresis to separate diamagnetic particles in ferrofluid. However, in these works, each functioning unit was connected in a serial manner, and the physical fields of functional units are not fully coupled. Therefore, the connected units were not to optimize the separation performance of inertial microfluidics, but working as an additional post-processing step.

Our previous work explored the feasibility of fully coupling dielectrophoretic (DEP) forces and inertial forces in serpentine microchannels, and DEP force was successfully demonstrated to modify the particle inertial focusing patterns [54]. In the present work, we further modified the DEP-inertial microfluidic platform and applied it for particle separation. First, the separation mechanism of the proposed platform was explained. Then, through the elimination of top inertial focusing positions by a sheath flow, the focusing positions of all particles were pinched close to the channel bottom where microelectrodes are patterned, so that the DEP force can modify all the particles’ focusing positions. Subsequently, the effects of electric voltage and frequency on the particle inertial focusing patterns of differently-sized particles were investigated. Finally, successful separation of binary particle mixture was demonstrated experimentally. Since the sizes of target binary particle mixture can be tuned by adjusting electric voltage without requirement of redesigning the structure or dimension of microchannels, the proposed novel platform provides more flexibility over the conventional inertial microfluidic devices.

2. Theory

2.1. Inertial migration

When particles are dispersed in tubular flow with a finite inertia, inertial migration phenomenon that randomly distributed particles migrate laterally toward several cross-sectional equilibrium positions will happen. The phenomenon is widely recognised by the counteraction of two inertial lift forces: the shear gradient lift force $F_{LS}$ and the wall lift force $F_{ LW}$. The shear gradient lift force $F_{LS}$ originates from the curvature of the fluid velocity parabolic profile and its interaction with a finite size particle, while the wall lift force $F_{ LW}$ is a result of the disturbance of the flow field around the suspending particles and its reflection off the wall [12,13]. The equilibrium positions correspond to the location where the resultant of the two inertial lift forces is zero. The net inertial lift force $F_l$ can be expressed as follows when the particle size is small compared to the channel size [12]:

$$F_l = \rho_l U_m a^4 D^2 \frac{1}{n} f_l (R_C, z)$$

\[ R_C = \frac{\rho_l U_m D_h}{\mu_f} \]

where $\rho_l$, $U_m$ and $\mu_f$ are fluid density, maximum velocity, and dynamic viscosity, respectively; $a$ is particle diameter, and $D_h$ is the hydraulic diameter of the channel. $f_l (R_C, z)$ is the lift coefficient of the net inertial lift force, which is a function of the position of particles within the cross-section of channel $z$ and channel Reynolds number $R_C$ [12]. The locations where $f_l = 0$ correspond to the dynamic equilibrium positions for particles in the channel cross-section.

The introduction of channel curvature often induces counter-rotating secondary flows (or Dean flow) which impose an additional drag force on the particles to modify and assist particle inertial focusing progress [21]. In serpentine microchannels, the direction of secondary flow is alternated along the channel length, which results in alternating secondary flows. In the symmetric serpentine channels, the focusing pattern (e.g. position and number of dynamic equilibrium positions) is always determined by the balance between secondary flow drag and inertial lift force. If the secondary flow drag is larger than the inertial lift force, particles focus at the center of the microchannel. Otherwise, if the secondary flow is too weak to alter the focusing pattern dominated by the inertial lift force, and particles are normally ordered along the two sidewalls [20].

2.2. Dielectrophoresis

Dielectrophoretic (DEP) force is a result of the interaction of non-uniform electrical field and field-induced electrical polarisation of particles. A time-averaged DEP force exerts on particles when they are immersed in an alternating electrical field [49]:

$$F_{DEP} = 2\pi \varepsilon_m r^3 \text{Re}[K(\omega)] |E_{rms}|^2$$

where $\varepsilon_m$ is the permittivity of the medium, $r$ represents the particle radius, $|E_{rms}|$ is the root-mean-squared value of the applied electrical field, and $K(\omega)$ refers to the Clausius–Mossotti (CM) factor. $\text{Re}$ indicates the real part of the factor, and $K(\omega)$
$\left( e_p^{*2} - e_m^{*2} \right) / \left( e_p^{*2} + 2e_m^{*2} \right)$ depends on the relative permittivity of the particle and the suspending medium, as well as the frequency of the external electric field. $e^* = e - i\sigma/\omega$ is the complex permittivity, $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity, and $\omega$ is the angular frequency of the electric field. The subscripts $p$ and $m$ denote the particle and suspending medium, respectively.

If $\text{Re}[K(\omega)] > 0$, the DEP is positive and particles are attracted into the regions of strong electric fields, while if $\text{Re}[K(\omega)] < 0$, the DEP force is negative and particles are repelled from those regions.

In the present work, an interdigitated electrode (IDE) array was patterned on the bottom of the microchannel to generate a negative DEP ($n$-DEP) on the particles and push them upwards, Fig. 1(a, b). Since the DEP force in the horizontal and lateral direction is too weak to alter particle positions due to a high flow speed, the vertical DEP force ($F_{\text{DEP}}$) counteracts with the inertial lift force along the vertical direction and adjusts the dynamic equilibrium positions of particles. Without a specific notice, the DEP force in the whole manuscript indicates the DEP force component in the vertical ($z$) direction.

### 2.3. Particle separation mechanism in DEP-inertial microfluidic platform

In the previous work, we demonstrated that inertial focusing pattern could be modified by coupling a dielectrophoresis force [54]. However, the micro-electrodes were patterned on the bottom of microchannel and the generated DEP force could only be effective within the bottom region of microchannel. This is because the magnitude of DEP force decreased sharply along the vertical direction ($z$). The particles within the top region of microchannel cannot be modified simultaneously, which hinders particle separation using DEP modification. Therefore, in this work, we proposed to eliminate the top inertial equilibrium positions by a top sheath flow, Fig. 1(a). The top sheath flow spreads downward and pushes all the particles into the bottom region of microchannel at the inlet, so that all particles are focused on the bottom region of the microchannel.

Then, after an alternating electrical field is applied to generate the DEP force on the particles, the DEP force $F_{\text{DEP}}$ competes with the inertial lift force $f_l$ along the vertical direction ($z$), levitating particles upward where the magnitude of secondary flow becomes stronger, Fig. 1(c). The net effect of the stronger secondary flow is that particles migrate toward the channel centerline along the lateral direction. Since different scaling orders of DEP force and inertial lift force on particle size, the vertical equilibrium position of particles is highly dependent on particle size, subsequently causing differential focusing positions of particles along the lateral direction ($y$).

Here, a dimensionless factor $\delta$ was defined as the magnitude ratio of DEP force to inertial lift force:

$$\delta = \frac{F_{\text{DEP}}}{f_l} = \frac{\pi D_e^2 \rho_m \text{Re}[K(\omega)] |\nabla E_m|^2}{4f_l(R_e, z) \rho_p U_m^2} \propto a$$

The recent work of Zhou and Papautsky [55] indicates that $f_l$ is proportional to $a^{-2.5}$; $f_l \propto a^{-2.5}$. Therefore, $\delta$ is proportional to particle diameter $\delta \propto a$. That means, particles with a larger size will be levitated upwards higher than that of smaller ones under the same conditions, and they are focused closer to the channel centerline than the smaller particles. A more detailed understanding is provided in the supplementary information. Furthermore, a tiny location difference along the vertical direction ($z$) can be amplified along the lateral direction ($y$), which facilitates high efficient particle separation. This is the basic separation principle of the proposed DEP-inertial microfluidic platform, Fig. 1(b).

### 3. Materials and methods

#### 3.1. Design and fabrication of DEP-inertial microfluidic device

The microfluidic channel used in our experiments consisted of 15 symmetric zigzag periods. The width of the microchannel was 200 μm. The length and width of each U-turn were both 700 μm. The height of the channel was 40 μm. The polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microfluidic channel was fabricated by the standard photolithography and soft lithography techniques [56]. In addition to the inlet and outlet holes, which are used for infusion and collection of particle samples, a fluidic hole on the PDMS slab for sheath flow was also formed delicately using a custom-made punch. For the glass slide with microelectrodes, a 50-nm Ti/150-nm Pt electrode layer was patterned using the standard lift-off process [57]. The gap and width of the interdigitated electrodes (IDEs) were both 20 μm, and the total length of IDEs was 13.5 mm. In order to form a closed microchannel, both the PDMS slab and the glass slide were exposed to an oxygen plasma for 3 mins, and they were permanently bonded after being carefully aligned and contacted. A photograph of the fabricated device was shown in Fig. 1(d).

#### 3.2. Particle preparation

Fluorescence-labelled polystyrene particles were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The average diameters of fluorescent particles are 4.8 μm, 8 μm and 13 μm respectively. They were suspended in deionized (DI) water with a weight ratio of about 0.025%. Tween 20 (SIGMA-ALDRICH, Product No. P9416) with a weight ratio of 0.1% was added to prevent particles from aggregation. To conduct separation tests, binary polystyrene beads mixtures (5 μm and 13 μm, 8 μm and 13 μm, respectively) were prepared in the DI water with Tween 20. The bulk conductivity and permittivity of the polystyrene beads are $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ S/m and 2.6 εo, respectively. The conductivity and permittivity of DI water are $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ S/m and 78 εo, respectively [58].

#### 3.3. Experimental setup and methods

The microfluidic device was placed on an inverted microscope (CKX41, Olympus, Japan), illuminated by a mercury arc lamp. The particle suspension and sheath fluid were infused into the microchannel with specific flow rates by two syringe pumps (Legato 100, KD Scientific). A sinusoidal signal with a frequency of 1 MHz was generated by a waveform generator (33250A, Agilent, USA) and amplified by an RF power amplifier (TIA-1000-1RB-2, Mini-Circuits, USA). Copper wires with one end soldered onto the IDEs pads were connected to the power amplifier to activate the electrodes. The dielectrophoresis (DEP) force was induced by the sinusoidal voltage and its magnitude can be controlled by the applied voltage amplitude. The DEP force competes with inertial lift force along the vertical direction and adjusts particles’ focusing positions in the cross-section. Fluorescent images of particle trajectories were observed and captured by a CCD camera (Optimos, Q-imaging, Australia), and then post-processed and analyzed using Q-Capture Pro 7 (Q-imaging, Australia) software. The purifications of particle suspensions collected from different outlets was calculated from three measurements by a hemocytometry.

### 4. Results and discussions

#### 4.1. Elimination of top inertial focusing streaks by a sheath flow

Inertial focusing in the symmetric serpentine channel comprises of three different focusing patterns at various flow rates: (1) two-
sided inertial focusing pattern; (2) transitional inertial focusing pattern; and (3) single central inertial focusing pattern [20]. The two-sided inertial focusing pattern was chosen in this work and the total flow rate is fixed at 300 μl/min. As we know, there are symmetric focused streaks on the top and bottom of microchannel along the channel vertical center plane, and the DEP force exerted by the bottom patterned IDEs electrodes can only be effective for the bottom focused streaks. Therefore, in order to eliminate the focused streaks on the top half of microchannel, a top sheath flow was implemented. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of top sheath flow on the elimination of top focused streaks, a PDMS microfluidic device was fabricated from three PDMS slabs using the method reported by Guan et al. [59] to facilitate the observation of the side view. When the flow rate ratio of sheath flow to sample flow was zero (no sheath flow), Fig. 2(i), two focused streaks along the top and bottom of channel appeared, Fig. 2(ii–iv) and Movie S1. Within our expectation, when the flow rate ratio of sheath flow to sample flow was set as 2:1, all particles were pressed down to the bottom of microchannel at the inlet, Fig. 2(v), and there was only one focused streak along the bottom of microchannel, Fig. 2(vi–ix) and Movie S2. In addition, we varied the flow rate ratio of sheath flow to sample flow and found that if the ratio was not less than...
Fig. 3. (a) DEP-inertial focusing of 8-μm particles with an increasing electric voltage and (b) the corresponding fluorescence profiles clearly indicate the distribution of microparticles along the channel width. The flow rate ratio = 2:1, and the total flow rate = 300 μl/min.

Fig. 4. (a) Transformation of DEP-inertial focusing patterns at an increasing electrical voltage and (b) the corresponding speculated dynamic equilibrium positions of particles within the microchannel cross-sections. (c) Force analysis of DEP force \( F_{\text{DEP}} \) and inertial lift force \( F_L \) along the vertical direction \( z \) for the different inertial focusing patterns. The red arrows indicate the direction of net force of \( F_{\text{DEP}} \) and \( F_L \). For a stable position, the net force should direct toward it along both sides. (i) At relative low electric voltages, particles are focused at location A along the vertical direction \( z \). Point C is an unstable position where the net force on both sides directs outwards and unstable along both positive and negative \( z \) direction, thus particles can easily escape towards both sides by a weak disturbance. No particles are within the top half of microchannel at the inlet and thus no particles are focused at point D, although point D is a stable position. This stage includes the inertial focusing patterns (i–iii) in Fig. 4(a); (II) Increasing electrical voltage, point A moves upwards and point C moves downwards, and eventually they contact and merge as a point B. Point B is a semi-stable (saddle) position, where the net force on both sides is directing upward. Due to the fact that point B is a semi-stable position, a portion of particles on point B may shift upward to point D and the remaining particles are staying at point B. Therefore, from top-down view, there are three focusing streaks, corresponding to focusing pattern (iv) in Fig. 4(a); (iii) When the applied voltage exceeds a threshold, all the particles will move to point D, which corresponds to focusing pattern (v) in Fig. 4(a). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Fig. 5. The fluorescent images of 13-μm polystyrene particle focusing streaks under different electric frequencies. \( V_{pp} = 30\, \text{v} \); (b) The theoretical Clausius–Mossotti (CM) factor under different electric frequencies.
applied electric voltage were shown in Fig. 4a, with the corresponding speculated cross-sectional dynamic equilibrium positions of particles depicted in Fig. 4b. Under an increasing electric voltage, (i) particles are initially focused along two sidewalls; (ii) two-sided focused streaks migrate toward channel center; (iii) two focused streaks merge as a single focused streak around the channel centerline; (iv) two additional focused streaks appear along two sidewalls besides the central focused streak; finally (v) the central focused streak vanishes with two-sided streaks remained.

From the aspects of dynamics, there are three different force balance stages according to the counteraction of DEP force and inertial lift force along the vertical direction, Fig. 4c. (I) At a relatively low electric voltage, there are two stable equilibrium positions A and D (green square symbols) along the vertical direction (z) where the net force \( F_{DEP} + F_{Lz} \) on both sides is pointing to themselves, Fig. 4c(i). In this work, as we demonstrated above, by applying a top sheath flow, all the particles at the inlet were pushed downward and maintained within the bottom of microchannel, therefore all the particles are focused at point A and no particles are located at point D. Point C is an unstable position where the net force on both sides directs outwards, and particles can easily escape towards both sides by a weak disturbance. Within this stage, two-sided focused streaks of particles shift toward channel centerline and merge as a single tight focused streak with the vertical ascent of particles, and this stage includes the inertial focusing patterns (i-iii) in Fig. 4a, b; (II) Increasing electrical voltage, point A moves upwards and point C moves downwards, and eventually they contact and become the point B, Fig. 4c(ii). Point B is a saddle position, where the net force on both sides is directing upward. A small disturbance to shift particles below point B \( z < z_{B} \) could bring back particles to the location B due to the upward net force \( F_{DEP} + F_{Lz} \), however, a slight disturbance above point B \( z > z_{B} \) could never bring particles back. In the experiments, a portion of particles on point B escaped and moved up to stable point D and the remaining particles were staying at point B. Therefore, as we observed experimentally, there were three focused streaks from the top-down view, corresponding to focusing pattern (iv) in Fig. 4a; (iii) When the applied voltage exceeds a threshold, the net force \( F_{DEP} + F_{Lz} \) is larger than zero and directing upward throughout the bottom half region, thus all the particles will migrate upward to point D, which corresponds to focusing pattern (v) in Fig. 4a, b.

Besides the electric voltage, the electric frequency is another important parameter in DEP manipulation, and its influence on particle focusing positions in DEP-inertial microfluidic platform was investigated. As shown in Fig. 5(a), particles are focused as two sided streaks near the sidewalls at a low electric frequency \( f = 0.1 \) MHz, and the focusing streaks shift toward the channel center when increasing the electric frequency, and finally they emerged as a single central focusing streak \( f = 1 \) MHz. The reason behind the phenomenon can be explained by the Re\([-K(\omega)]\) which determines the magnitude of DEP force under different electric frequencies, Fig. 5(b). The magnitude of Re\([-K(\omega)]\) is much smaller \((-0.199)\) at a low frequency \(0.1\) MHz than that at the frequency of 1 MHz, which means that DEP force is not strong enough to levitate particles and particles can only focus as two sided streaks at the low frequency. The calculation of Re\([-K(\omega)]\) can be found in the supplementary information.

4.3. Effects of particle size

Particle size is an important parameter for particle separation in microfluidics, thus the effects of particle size on the focusing phenomena of the proposed DEP-inertial microfluidic devices were also investigated. Besides \(8-\mu m\) particles, \(5-\mu m\) and \(13-\mu m\) particles suspensions were prepared in DI water and infused into the microfluidic device with the same flow rate ratio of sample flow.
Fig. 7. (a) Focusing positions and focusing widths of 5-μm and 13-μm particles under different electrical voltages. (b) and (c) Binary particles (13-μm and 5-μm in diameter) trajectories at Vpp = 0 V, and Vpp = 27 V, respectively. (i) The fluorescence trajectory at the last period, (ii) the fluorescence trajectory at the end of the serpentine microchannel, and (iii) the corresponding fluorescence profiles. Green fluorescent lines represent trajectories of 5-μm particles and red fluorescent lines indicate trajectories of 13-μm particles. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

to sheath flow, respectively. By increasing the applied voltage, we found that the inertial focusing patterns of 5-μm and 13-μm transformed with a similar trend with that of 8-μm particles, as shown in Figs. S1 and S2, that is the two-sided focused streaks moved toward the channel centerline and merged as a single one, then it was split as three focused streaks, and finally returned back to the two-sided focused streaks.

A particle diameter – electrical voltage map to illustrate the working regions of different particle focusing patterns was depicted in Fig. 6, which can help to determine the suitable electrical voltage for particle separation. The map divided particle focusing patterns into three basic regions. In region I, particles are focused along two sidewalls due to the weak DEP force; In region II, a sufficient DEP force levitates particles so that particles can be pinched as a single focused streak at the channel center; In region III, DEP force is too strong and the resultant of DEP force and inertial lift force cannot create stable position within the bottom area of channel, thus a portion of and even all particles escape from the centrally focused streak and migrate to the two sidewalls. It should be noted that the regions I and II in the map (Fig. 6) correspond to the stage I in the
dynamic analysis (Fig. 4c), and region III in the map (Fig. 6) includes the stages II and III in the dynamic analysis (Fig. 4c). From the map, it is obvious that the critical electric voltages for the conversion of these three regions are highly dependent on particle size. For example, the minimum electric voltages $V_{C1}$ for the formation of the centrally focused streak (region II) for 5-μm, 8-μm and 13-μm particles are 48 v, 39 v and 27 v, respectively. Therefore, by selecting a suitable electric voltage at which binary particles are located in different regions in the map, e.g. one is in region I and the other is in region II, then it is possible to conduct effective particle separation in the proposed DEP-inertial microfluidic device, as we will demonstrate in the following.

4.4. Particle separation

In inertial microfluidics, channel structures (e.g., channel curvature [18,19,34,39], obstacle array [22,60], cross-sectional dimension [15] and cross-sectional shape [16,30,35]) are often manipulated to enable differential focusing positions of particles or distinct migration speed to achieve effective separation. In these works, the input flow rate is the only parameter that can be adjusted in the separation process. In this work, we reveal that for DEP-inertial microfluidic platform, we demonstrated that an additional parameter electric voltage can be adjusted in real-time to facilitate particle separation. Fig. 7a shows the focusing positions and focusing widths of 5-μm and 13-μm particles under varying electric voltages, so that the lateral position difference of binary particle streaks can be easily determined. When the applied electric voltage was zero, no DEP force was exerted on the particles, and both 13-μm and 5-μm particles were focused along the two sidewalls with focusing bands overlapped each other, Fig. 7b, therefore, it is impossible to achieve particle separation at this situation. By adjusting the electrical voltage to $V_{dp} = 27$ v, the small 5-μm particles are still focused along two sidewalls while 13-μm particles have combined as a single focused streak along channel centerline, Fig. 7c. The lateral distance of particle streaks can be as large as 29 μm. Therefore, the binary particle mixture can be separated by collecting the differential focused streaks at the end of the microchannel.

In order to evaluate separation performance of the DEP-inertial microfluidic device, we prepared a binary particle mixture (13-μm and 5-μm in diameter), with concentrations of $8.5 \times 10^5$ counts/ml for 13-μm and $1.4 \times 10^5$ counts/ml for 5-μm. Infusing the particle mixture into the DEP-inertial microfluidic device and setting the applied electric voltage as 27 v, the particle suspensions after processing were collected respectively from the two-sided outlets and the central outlet. The concentrations of particle suspension before and after processing were measured by a hemocytometer, the fluorescence images of binary particle mixture from the inlet and two outlets were shown in Fig. 8a. The particle purity was increased from 38% to 93.8% for 13-μm particles, and 62% to 100% for 5-μm particles, Fig. 8b. Meanwhile, the separation efficiency of 13-μm and 5-μm particles are about 100% and 96% respectively, Fig. 8c.

Besides the binary particles (13-μm and 5-μm) with a diameter difference of 8 μm can be separated, a finer separation resolution for particles with smaller size difference was also tested. From the particle diameter – electrical voltage map in Fig. 5, for binary particle mixture (8-μm and 13-μm in diameter), applying the electric voltage from 27 v to 33 v, larger (13-μm) particles are focused at the channel centerline, while the smaller (8-μm) particles are still focused as two streaks close to the sidewalls, therefore, effec-

![Fig. 8. Separation of polystyrene beads (5 μm and 13 μm in diameter) in the DEP-inertial microfluidic device.](Image)

(a) Fluorescent images of particle collection from inlet and two outlets under a hemocytometry. (b) Separation purity of binary particle mixture before and after separation. (c) Separation efficiency of the binary particle mixture of 5-μm and 13-μm particles.
tive separation can be obtained, Fig. S3. Regarding the limitations of the platform, the current design requires a top sheath flow to pinch all the particles down to the bottom region of microchannel, so that particles can experience the DEP force generated by the microelectrodes on the bottom surface. However, introduction of an additional sheath flow indeed complicates the operation of the system, dilutes the particle sample and consumes additional buffer. In the future work, we will try to optimize the design to eliminate the requirement of top sheath flow to further simplify the system.

Compared with previous works that the effective functioning of inertial microfluidic devices depends solely on flow rate [20,59,61], the proposed DEP-inertial microfluidic platform not only keeps the functionality in particle separation by tuning flow rate but also adds one more flexible controlling parameter – electrical signal to achieve particle separation. Furthermore, the proposed DEP-inertial microfluidic device can be tuned in real-time to satisfy binary particle mixtures of varied sizes, without redesign of microchannel structure or dimension. We believed that this is a significant advantage of the proposed hybrid DEP-inertial microfluidic platform compared with the conventional inertial microfluidic devices.

5. Conclusions

In this work, we developed a novel DEP-inertial microfluidic device for particle separation. A sheath flow was used to successfully eliminate the top inertia focused streaks, so that the DEP force induced by the bottom patterned interdigitated microelectrodes can effectively exert on the microparticles and modified their focused positions within the channel cross-sections. The working mechanism of the proposed DEP-inertial microfluidic device was analyzed and its separation performance was evaluated by binary particle mixtures. In contrast to the traditional inertial microfluidic platforms, whose functionality highly depends on the flow speed, and they are only effective for a specific range target particle dimension, the superior advantage of the proposed DEP-inertial microfluidic device is that the dimensions of target binary particle mixture can be tuned in real-time by simply adjusting the electric voltage, without the need of redesigning channel structure or dimension.
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